



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Moray Channel Enterprises  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC, OLC, PSF, FF, )

### Introduction

This was a hearing with respect to an application by the tenant. The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant and the landlord's representative called in and participated in the hearing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to any of the relief claimed in his application?

### Background and Evidence

The tenant filed his application for dispute resolution on June 24, 2015. He requested a monetary award in the amount of \$1,000.00. In the details of his dispute he referred to: "Additional fees not agreed upon. Money owed has been unjustly inflated. Ongoing harassment has negatively effected working income." (reproduced as written)

The tenant did not submit any documentary evidence in support of his application. He said at the hearing that he has been prevented from submitting evidence by the actions of the landlord and he asked for what he referred to as: "a continuance", by which I understood him to mean an adjournment. The tenant said that he has new and additional claims to bring because of the landlord's conduct, apparently including attempts to evict him.

The landlord's representative said that the rental property is a marina and the applicant occupies a floating home pursuant to an agreement. He said that the *Residential Tenancy Act* has no application to this dispute.

The tenant said that he has new claims to make against the landlord, that are not set out in the application that is before me.

Analysis

The tenant has not provided evidence to support the claims stated in his application. I do not find that there is any basis for an adjournment of this proceeding and it is dismissed. This dismissal does not prevent the tenant from applying to seek a remedy with respect to the new matters that he claims have arisen since he filed this application. I make no finding as to whether or not there is a tenancy to which the *Residential Tenancy Act* applies.

Conclusion

The tenant's application is dismissed.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 26, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

