

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondents although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on each of the tenants on March 18, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on March 1, 2013. The rent is \$700 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$350 at the start of the tenancy. The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent over time and the sum of \$4275 remains

Page: 2

outstanding up to October 31, 2014. The tenants vacated the rental unit around the

middle of October 2014.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent and the sum of \$4275 remains

outstanding to the end of October 2014. I granted the landlord a monetary order in

the sum of \$4275 plus the sum of \$50 in respect of the filing fee for a total of

\$4325.

Security Deposit:

I determined the security deposit plus interest totals the sum of \$350. I ordered

the landlord may retain this sum thus reducing the amount outstanding under

this monetary order to the sum of \$3975.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal

Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order

as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small

Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 21, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch