



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ATIRA PROPERTY MANAGEMENT INC.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPC

Introduction

The landlord applies for an order of possession pursuant to a one month Notice to End Tenancy for cause dated February 27, 2015.

The tenant did not attend the hearing within ten minutes from the start time and the application proceeded in his absence.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the tenant been duly served? If so, does the evidence presented during the hearing show that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession?

Background and Evidence

The landlord's representative testifies that she personally served the tenant with the one month Notice to End Tenancy on February 27, 2015 and personally served the tenant with the Application for Dispute Resolution and hearing letter on June 30, 2015.

She testifies that the tenant has not vacated the rental unit.

Analysis

I find that the tenant has been duly served with the application in accordance with s. 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*").

The one month Notice has caused this tenancy to end on April 1, 2015 pursuant to s. 47 of the *Act* and the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Conclusion

The application is allowed. There will be an immediate order of possession issued against the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 26, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

