



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding BRUCE-BARTIZAN HOLDING LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (“Act”), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The landlord applied for:

- a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant’s security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested, pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant, pursuant to section 72.

Neither party attended at the appointed time set for the hearing, although I waited until 2:15 p.m. to enable them to participate in this hearing scheduled for 2:00 p.m.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing: The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Accordingly, **in the absence of anyone attending this hearing, I order the application dismissed with liberty to reapply.** I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Liberty to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 18, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch