

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes: MNSD FF

## <u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant pursuant to the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) for orders as follows:

- a) An Order to return double the security deposit pursuant to Section 38; and
- b) To recover the filing fee for this application.

#### **SERVICE**

The tenant/applicant did not attend. The landlord agreed he had received the Application for Dispute Resolution by registered mail. I find the documents were served pursuant to sections 88 and 89 of the Act for the purposes of this hearing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided:

Has the tenant proved on the balance of probabilities that she is entitled to the return of double the security deposit according to section 38 of the Act?

## **Background and Evidence**

The tenant/applicant did not attend to support her claim. After waiting 10 minutes, the hearing commenced in her absence. The landlord said the tenant had vacated in January 2015, he charged her \$150 for cleaning and refunded \$150 to her.

## **Analysis and Conclusion**:

The tenant/applicant did not attend the hearing to support her Application. I dismiss her Application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 06, 2015

29		
Residential	Tenancy	Branch