

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Raamco International Properties Canadian Ltd. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF, CNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The tenant filed an application seeking to have the 10 Day Notice for Unpaid Rent or Utilities set aside. Both parties attended the hearing and were given full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. The parties acknowledged receipt of evidence submitted by the other and gave affirmed testimony.

Issues to be Decided

Is either party entitled to any of the above under the Act, regulations or tenancy agreement?

Background and Evidence

The landlord gave the following testimony:

The tenancy began on or about June 1, 2015. Rent in the amount of \$950.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy the landlord collected from the tenant a security deposit in the amount of \$475.00. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month(s) of July and July 3, 2015 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month(s) of August and September.

The tenant gave the following testimony. The tenant stated that "I know rent should been paid but the place at a lot of issues".

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

<u>I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony and</u> I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and although she did apply for dispute resolution to dispute the notice she has not provided any evidence to have that notice set aside. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$2850.00 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I order that the landlord retain the \$475.00 security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$2425.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The landlord is granted an order of possession and a monetary order for \$2425.00. The landlord may retain the security deposit.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 15, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch