



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 48(4) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 03, 2015, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 82 and 83 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on September 08, 2015, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 39 and 48 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a manufactured home park tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on July 15, 2009, indicating a monthly rent of \$325, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on July 17, 2009;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated August 21, 2015, and sent by registered mail to the tenant on August 21,

2015, with a stated effective vacancy date of August 31, 2015, for \$384.50 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent by registered mail to the tenant at 2:07 p.m. on August 21, 2015. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 81 and 83 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on August 26, 2015, five days after its mailing.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$325.00, as per the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 39(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 39(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, September 05, 2015. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing for August 2015 as of September 02, 2015.

#### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 09, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

