

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR & FF

Introduction

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on July 1, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the tenant on August 21, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on March 1, 2015. The rent is \$600 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$300 prior to the start of the tenancy. The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of June (\$300 is owed), July (\$600 is owed) and August (\$600 is owed) and the sum of \$1500 remains owing. The tenant(s) continues to live in the rental unit. He has not paid the rent for September.

Page: 2

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. There is outstanding

rent. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End

Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy

Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy

ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date.

Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession on 2 days notice.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail

to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of

British Columbia for enforcement.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of June (\$300 is

owed), July (\$600 is owed) and August (\$600 is owed) and the sum of \$1500 remains

owing. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$1500 plus the sum

of \$50 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$1550.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal

Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order

as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small

Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 09, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch