

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CAPREIT and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u>
For the tenant – CNR, MT, O
For the landlord – OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for Dispute Resolution, the Director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, both parties had applied for Dispute Resolution. The hearings were scheduled together for an oral teleconference hearing on October 20, 2015 at 09.00 am. The hearing went ahead as scheduled but after 10 minutes neither participant had dialed into the conference call. Based on the above I find that the parties have failed to present the merits of their respective applications and their applications are dismissed with leave to reapply.

Leave to re-apply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 21, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch