

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

<u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of both parties. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

Both parties were given a full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions. Neither party requested an adjournment or a Summons to Testify. Prior to concluding the hearing both parties acknowledged they had presented all of the relevant evidence that they wished to present.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was sufficiently served on the Tenant by posting on August 6, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the Tenant on August 26, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issue to be decided is whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on August 1, 2009. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$300 at the start of the tenancy. The present rent is \$620 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month.

Page: 2

The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of August, September and October

and the sum of \$1860 remains owing. The tenant(s) have remained in the rental unit.

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. There is outstanding

rent. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End

Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy

Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy

ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date.

Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession. With the agreement of

the parties I set the effective date of the Order for Possession for October 31,

2015.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail

to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of

British Columbia for enforcement.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 14, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch