

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

## <u>Introduction</u>

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondents although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was sufficiently served on the tenant on August 1, 2015. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served on the Tenants by mailing, by registered mail on August 27, 2015. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

# Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a one year fixed term written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on June 1, 2015 and end on year later. The rent is \$22000 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$1100 at the start of the tenancy.

Page: 2

The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of June, July, August and September and the

sum of \$8800 remains owing. In addition the tenants owe \$78.58 for hydro for the period June

1 to July 7. The tenant(s) vacated the rental unit on October 7, 2015.

<u>Analysis - Order of Possession:</u>

It is no longer necessary to consider the landlord's application for an Order for Possession as

the tenants have vacated the rental unit and the landlord has regained possession.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of June, July, August and

September and the sum of \$8800 remains owing. In addition the tenants owe \$78.58 for hydro

for the period June 1 to July 7. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of

**\$8878.58.** The landlord testified she was withdrawing the claim for the cost of the filing fee.

**Security Deposit:** 

I determined the security deposit plus interest totals the sum of \$1100. I ordered the

landlord may retain this sum thus reducing the amount outstanding under this monetary

order to the sum of \$7778.58.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the

above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims

division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy

Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 09, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch