



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CORINTIA DEVELOPMENTS LTD.  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION CORRECTION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC, RP, RR, FF

The tenant applies for a correction of the decision rendered September 1, 2015 after the hearing of this matter. His request notes that the decision states that the toilet in question had been replaced. He says that it has not and so the decision should be corrected to reflect that fact.

I find I am unable to accommodate the tenant in this regard.

The evidence presented at hearing led me to conclude that the subject toilet had been replaced. This may be incorrect but it is the finding that I have made.

I would note that even had the finding been otherwise, the decision noted that the toilet problem was a minor one, not justifying an award of damages.

Finally, I wish to inform the parties that my finding about the toilet having been replaced was, in my view, merely a collateral finding and not a finding of fact essential to the conclusion reached. The tenant is free to make a contrary argument in any future proceeding and is not bound by the finding in my decision of September 1, 2015, that the subject toilet had been replaced.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 20, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

