

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CASCADIA APARTMENT RENTALS LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MND, MNDC, MNR, MNSD, FF

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- a monetary order for unpaid rent, for damage to the rental unit, and for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover their filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The landlord's agent attended the hearing by conference call and gave undisputed affirmed testimony. The tenants did not attend or submit any documentary evidence. The landlord's agent was unable to satisfy me that the Tenant had been served the Notice of Hearing Documents. The landlord's agent was only able to state that the head office of her company would have those details. In accordance with the Act and as a result, I dismiss the Landlord's application with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 17, 2015	
	Residential Tenancy Branch