



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 11, 2015, the landlord personally served Tenant E.B. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlord had a witness and Tenant E.B. sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89, I find that Tenant E.B. has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on December 11, 2015, the day it was personally served to them.

The landlord submitted a second signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 11, 2015, the landlord served Tenant W.R. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by personally handing it to Tenant E.B., an adult who resides with Tenant W.R. The landlord had Tenant E.B. and a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 (2) of the *Act*, I find that Tenant W.R. has been duly served with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding documents on December 11, 2015, in consideration of the Order of Possession only.

The landlord submitted a third signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 11, 2015, the landlord served Tenant W.H. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by personally handing it to Tenant E.B., an adult who resides with Tenant W.H. The landlord had Tenant E.B. and a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 (2) of the *Act*, I find that Tenant W.H. has been duly served with the Notice of Direct Request

Proceeding documents on December 11, 2015, in consideration of the Order of Possession only.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- Three copies of the Proofs of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and Tenant E.B. on October 15, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,000.00, due on the 15th day of the month for a tenancy commencing on October 15, 2015;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated November 16, 2015, and personally handed to Tenant E.B. on November 16, 2015, with a stated effective vacancy date of November 30, 2015, for \$2,000.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally handed to Tenant E.B. at 10:00 a.m. on November 16, 2015. The landlord had Tenant E.B. sign the Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy to confirm personal service. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were duly served with the 10 Day Notice on November 16, 2015.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$2,000.00 as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, November 30, 2015.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing for November 2015 as of December 10, 2015.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 14, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch

