

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes CNE OLC MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened to hear matters pertaining to an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Tenant on July 06, 2015. The Tenant filed seeking an order to cancel a Notice to end tenancy issued for end of employment; to obtain an Order to have the Landlord comply with the *Act*, regulation and/or tenancy agreement; and to obtain a Monetary Order for the return of their security deposit plus recovery of their filing fee.

No one was in attendance at the scheduled teleconference hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Should this application be dismissed with or without leave to reapply?

### Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

### <u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

**10.1 Commencement of the hearing** The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may

conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant and respondent Landlords, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of either party called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find neither party was able to present the merits of their position. Accordingly, I dismissed the application, with leave to reapply.

#### **Conclusion**

No one was in attendance at the scheduled hearing and the Tenant's application was dismissed, with leave to reapply. This dismissal does not extend any applicable time limits set out under the *Act*.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 30, 2015

Residential Tenancy Branch