

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding BURNABY HEIGHTS LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to subsection 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an order of possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary order.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on 20 January 2016, the landlords sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The landlords provided a copy of the Canada Post customer receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the Act, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on 25 January 2016, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issues to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 55 of the Act?

Are the landlords entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the Act?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- a copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant;
- a copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords and the tenant on 30 May 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$950.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on 1 June 2015;
- a Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing in the amount of \$950.00 for January 2016 rent; and
- a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) posted on the tenant's door on 9 January 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of 19 January 2015, for \$950.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlords indicates that the tenant was served by posting the 10 Day Notice to the tenant's door on 9 January 2016. This posting was witnessed. In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the Act, the tenant was deemed served with this 10 Day Notice on 12 January 2016, three days after its posting.

The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the 10 Day Notice within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept the tenant has been deemed served with 10 Day Notice as declared by the landlords.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under subsection 46(4) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under subsection 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, 22 January 2016.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an order of possession and a monetary order of \$950.00 for unpaid rent owing from January 2016.

Page: 3

Conclusion

I grant an order of possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this order, this order may be filed and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I find that the landlords are entitled to a monetary order in the amount of \$950.00 for rent owed for January 2016. The landlords are provided with this order in the above terms and the tenant(s) must be served with **this order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this order, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under subsection 9.1(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 29, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch