

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing was convened to hear matters pertaining to the Landlord's application which was originally filed through the Direct Request process. On November 18, 2015 an Interim Decision was issued by an Adjudicator who ordered that the matter be adjourned to a participatory hearing. Therefore, this Decision must be read in conjunction with the November 18, 2015 Interim Decision.

The participatory hearing was scheduled to be heard on January 20, 2016 at 10:30 a.m. No one was in attendance at that scheduled teleconference hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Should this application be dismissed with or without leave to reapply?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of the applicant Landlord and respondent Tenants, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of either party called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find the Landlord failed to present the merits of their application. Accordingly, I dismissed the application, without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

No one was in attendance at the reconvened participatory hearing and the Landlords' application was dismissed, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 21, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch