



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 48(4) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceedings which declares that on January 27, 2016, the landlord sent the tenants the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided copies of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 82 and 83 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 01, 2016, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 39 and 48 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 60 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- Two copies of the Proof of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a manufactured home park tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on April 02, 2013, and Tenant E.J. on March 31, 2013, indicating a

monthly rent of \$365.00, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on April 02, 2013;

- Two copies of Notice of Rent Increase forms, showing the rent being increased from \$365.00 to the current monthly rent amount of \$386.00;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated January 16, 2016, and sent by registered mail to the tenants on January 16, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of January 31, 2016, for \$386.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent by registered mail to the tenants at 12:35 p.m. on January 16, 2016. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 81 and 83 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on January 21, 2016, five days after its mailing.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$386, as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase forms.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 39(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 39(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, January 31, 2016.

I find that Tenant S.J. is not named on and has not signed the manufactured home park tenancy agreement submitted by the landlord.

For the above reason, the monetary portion of the landlord's application naming Tenant S.J. as a respondent is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order against Tenant E.J. in the amount of \$386.00, the amount claimed by the landlord, for unpaid rent owing for January 2016 as of January 27, 2016.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 60 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$386.00 for rent owed for January 2016. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant E.J. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant E.J. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the monetary portion of the landlord's application, naming Tenant S.J. as a respondent, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 02, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch

