

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 17, 2016, the landlord "TM" served the tenant "JC" with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 3:58 PM. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "DM" and a signature for "DM" is included on the form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlords, and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant "JC" has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on March 20, 2016, three days after their posting.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 17, 2016, the landlord "TM" served the tenant "SS" with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 3:58 PM. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "DM" and a signature for "DM" is included on the form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlords, and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant "SS" has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on March 20, 2016, three days after their posting.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

 Two copies of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords on September 23, 2015 and signed by the tenants on October 01, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$900.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on October 01, 2015;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing during the portion of this tenancy in question, on which the landlords establish that there is unpaid rent owing for the month of March 2016 in the amount of \$900.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) dated March 03, 2016, which the landlords state was served to the tenants on March 03, 2016 for \$900.00 in unpaid rent due on March 01, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of March 17, 2016; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice showing that the landlord "TM" served the Notice to the tenants by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 1:30 PM on March 03, 2016. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "DM" and a signature for "DM" is included on the form.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the *Act* which provides that the tenants had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlords alleged that the tenants did not pay the rental arrears.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence provided by the landlords. Section 90 of the *Act* provides that because the Notice was served by posting the Notice to the door of the rental unit, the tenants are deemed to have received the Notice three days after its posting. In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants are deemed to have received the Notice on March 06, 2016, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$900.00, as established in the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay outstanding rental arrears in the amount of \$900.00, comprised of the

Page: 3

balance of unpaid rent owed for the month of March 2016. I find that the tenants received the Notice on March 06, 2016. I accept the landlords' undisputed evidence and find that the tenants did not pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that 5-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, March 17, 2016.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession based on the March 03, 2016 Notice served to the tenants for unpaid rent owing for March 2016.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 21, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch