

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes FF MND MNDC MNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for a monetary order and an order allowing retention of the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. The landlord also requested recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord attended the hearing but the tenant failed to attend. The landlord testified that the tenant was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing in person by a process server on September 4, 2015. The landlord submitted the affidavit of service of the process server. I am satisfied that the tenant was properly served with the hearing documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to the requested orders?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy began on October 21, 2014. The rent was \$1750.00 due in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$875.00 at the start of the tenancy. On August 31, 2015 the tenant vacated the rental unit. The tenant had only paid half the rent for August and had told the landlord to use his security deposit as the other half of the rent for August.

At the outset of the tenancy a move-in condition inspection report was completed. The report reflected the fact that the condominium was brand new and had not yet been lived in by anyone. At the time of move-out, the tenant repeatedly changed times for the move out inspection and then ultimately never showed. The tenant also refused to deliver the keys to the rental unit to the landlord and said that the landlord would have to drive all the way out to the tenant's new place in Surrey to get the keys from him. The landlord agreed to do this because he needed to get into the unit to get it ready for the

next tenants who were moving in the next day. When the landlord ultimately got access to the unit he found it to be in terrible condition. He gave this description in his application:

"The suite was found to be smelly, dirty and damaged upon entry. It was almost unbearable and many items were left behind including mattress, personal belongings, food, drinks and garbage. Major concerns/discoveries: 1) burnt mark on cupboard in kitchen; 2) greasy sink, oven, over-the-range microwave, and fridge including interior surfaces; 3) stained carpet with dust and hair in bedroom and closets; 4) stained toilet and toilet seat, shower cabin, bath tub, and sinks; 5) dented and scratched walls with smudged dirt; 6) damaged flooring in living room. The suite was in such bad condition that it could not be thoroughly cleaned just once and in one day."

The landlord submitted detailed photographic evidence of the rental unit in support of the above description. The landlord testified that the suite could not be ready in time for his new tenants so he had to give them a rebate of two days' rent.

For his part, the tenant did not submit any evidence and as noted above, did not attend the hearing.

<u>Analysis</u>

The landlord has made a total monetary claim of \$2425.00 for the following items:

Unpaid rent – Jun 2015	\$200.00
Unpaid rent - Aug 2015	875.00
Mileage and toll to Surrey (to get keys)	33.80
Cleaning supplies	8.68
Lock replacement (tenant returned only	166.20
one of two sets of keys)	
Junk and garbage removal	200.00
First Move-out cleaning	320.00
Second Move-out cleaning	186.90
Carpet Cleaning	136.50
Process server	131.67
Lost rental (2 day rebate to new tenants)	116.67
Filing fee	50.00
TOTAL	\$2425.42
Second Move-out cleaning Carpet Cleaning Process server Lost rental (2 day rebate to new tenants) Filing fee	186.90 136.50 131.67 116.67 50.00

In support of the above claims, the landlord submitted photographs, inspection reports, invoices and receipts. The landlord's evidence package was extremely organized and clear and provided more than enough support in my view to establish his entire claim.

The rental unit was left in deplorable condition and I am only surprised that the landlord's claim was not higher than it was. In point of fact, the landlord testified that the claim would have been higher had he had enough time to repair the damage that was done to the unit. However, in order to get the next tenants in, the landlord had to restrict himself to the immediate tasks of furniture and garbage removal and clean up.

I find that the landlord has established his entire monetary claim.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$2425.42 for the items listed above. I order that the landlord retain the deposit and interest (\$0.00) of \$875.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1550.42. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 11, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch