

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord makes the following claims:

- a. An Order for Possession for non-payment of rent
- b. A monetary order in the sum of \$850 for unpaid rent
- c. An Order to retain the security deposit.
- d. An order to recover the cost of the filing fee

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on February 2, 2016. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the Tenant on February 12, 2016. With respect to each of the applicants' claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence:

The parties entered into an 18 month fixed term written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on January 23, 2015. The rent is \$1550 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$750 at the start of the tenancy. The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of February 2016 and the sum of \$850 remains owing. The tenant(s) vacated the rental unit on or about February 22, 2016.

Page: 2

Analysis - Order of Possession:

It is no longer necessary to consider the landlord's application for an Order for Possession as the Tenant has vacated the rental unit.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of February and the sum of \$850 remains outstanding. I determined the landlord has given sufficient notice of their intention to claim for all of last month as provided in the Application for Dispute Resolution. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$850 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$950.

Security Deposit:

I determined the security deposit plus interest totals the sum of \$775. I ordered the landlord may retain this sum thus reducing the amount outstanding under this monetary order to the sum of \$175.

Conclusion:

I ordered that the landlords shall retain the security deposit of \$775. I further order that the Tenant pay to the Landlords the sum of \$175 in satisfaction of this matter.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 31, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch