

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord participated in the teleconference hearing, but the tenant did not call into the hearing. The landlord stated that they personally served the tenant with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing on March 21, 2016. I accepted the landlord's testimony that the tenant was served with notice of the hearing, and I proceeded with the hearing in the absence of the tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began over one year ago. Rent in the amount of \$925.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenant in the amount of \$450.00. The tenant failed to pay rent in the months of January, February and March 2016 and on March 2, 2016 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month of April 2016.

Page: 2

The Landlord's evidence included the following:

• a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, issued on March 2, 2016, with an effective vacancy date of March 12, 2016, for failure to pay rent in the amount of \$2,775.00 that was due on March 1, 2016;

- testimony that the tenant was personally served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on March 2, 2016; and
- a copy of the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, filed March 18, 2016.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all evidence and I accept that the tenant was served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act. I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. The landlord is therefore entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, based on the above-noted evidence I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$3,700.00 in unpaid rent and lost revenue. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is entitled to \$3,800.00. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$450.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$3,350.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residentia	λĺ
Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.	

Dated: April 29, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch