



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order. Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by personal service on March 14, 2016, the tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession and, if so, on what terms?
- Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order and, if so, in what amount?

Background and Evidence

This month-to-month tenancy commenced January 11, 2016. The parties never signed a written tenancy agreement. They agreed that the monthly rent would be \$550.00 and it would be due on the first day of the month. The tenant promised to pay a security deposit but she never did.

The tenant has never paid any rent either. The landlord testified that the tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Non-Payment of Rent That document includes information advising the tenant that the notice is cancelled if the tenant paid the arrears of rent within five days. It also advises that the tenant has five days to dispute the notice by filing an application for dispute resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. The landlord testified that the tenant did neither.

The landlord testified that the tenant had not paid the rent for January, February, March or April.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on

the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenant.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$2098.93** comprised of arrears of rent in the amount of \$1998.95 (January rent pro-rated to \$348.93 calculated as \$18.33/ day X 21 days) and the \$100.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 in this amount.

Conclusion

- a. An order of possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant has been granted. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.
- b. A monetary order in favour of the landlord in the amount of **\$2098.93** has been granted. If necessary, it may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 26, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch