



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 26, 2016, the landlord’s agent “RB” served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 12:45 PM. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by “NA” and a signature for “NA” is included on the form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 29, 2016, three days after their posting.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord’s agent and the tenant on April 18, 2013, indicating a monthly rent of \$450.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on May 01, 2013;

- The landlord established the manner in which rent was raised from the initial \$450.00 stated in the tenancy agreement to the current amount of \$473.00 by providing copies of "Notice of Rent Increase" forms provided to the tenant during the course of the tenancy;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet on which the landlord indicates that there is unpaid rent in the amount of \$473.00, comprised of the balance of unpaid rent owed for the month of April 2016;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) dated April 06, 2016, which the landlord states was served to the tenant on April 06, 2016, for \$473.00 in unpaid rent due on April 01, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of April 19, 2016; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice showing that the landlord's agent "RB" served the Notice to the tenant by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 1:00 PM on April 06, 2016. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "NA" and a signature for "NA" is included on the form.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the *Act* which provides that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenant did not pay the rental arrears.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence provided by the landlord. Section 90 of the *Act* provides that because the Notice was served by posting the Notice to the door of the rental unit, the tenant is deemed to have received the Notice three days after its posting. In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have received the Notice on April 09, 2016, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$473.00, as the landlord has established that the monthly rent amount was raised from the initial amount established in the tenancy agreement, to the current amount of \$473.00. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay outstanding rental arrears in the amount of \$473.00, comprised of the balance of unpaid rent owed for the month of April 2016. I find that the tenant received the Notice on April 09, 2016. I accept the landlord's undisputed evidence and find that the tenant did not pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that 5-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, April 19, 2016.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the April 06, 2016 Notice served to the tenant for unpaid rent owed for the month of April 2016.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 02, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch