

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SKYLINE MOTEL and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNR, MNDC

<u>Introduction</u>

On March 29, 2016, the Tenant submitted an Application for Dispute Resolution asking for a monetary order for money owed for emergency repairs; for money owed for damage or loss under the Act; and for the return of personal property.

The matter was set for a conference call hearing at 1:30 a.m. on this date. The Tenant appeared at the hearing but the Landlord did not.

Preliminary Matters

Section 59 (2) of the Act states an application for dispute resolution must include full particulars of the dispute that is to be the subject of the dispute resolution proceedings. Further, the principles of natural justice require that a person be given full disclosure of the evidence to be considered and to have an opportunity to respond.

The Tenant's Application did not provide full particulars of the dispute and the Tenant also failed to provide any documentary evidence to support his claims.

As the Tenant has failed to comply with section 59(2) of the Act, I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: May 03, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch