Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes   MNSD

Introduction

This is an application brought by the tenant requesting an Order for return of the security deposit; however no hearing was held because, at the beginning of the conference call, the respondent revealed that he is not the landlord, has never been the landlord and is not related to anyone who is, or ever was a landlord at the dispute property.

 The tenant testified that the respondent was not his landlord, but he thought that the respondent was his landlord's son, however he may have been mistaken.

 In response to the tenant statement, the respondent stated he definitely is not the son of the person named by the tenant as his, now deceased, previous landlord.

Conclusion

It is my finding that the person named as the respondent on this application is not a landlord of the dispute property and has been improperly named as a respondent.

This application is therefore dismissed with leave to reapply naming the proper respondent.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 27, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch