



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding S.U.C.C.E.S.S. HOUSING SOCIETY  
and tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

### **Dispute Codes:**

OPR, MNR, FF

### **Introduction**

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for Orders as follows:

1. An Order of Possession - Section 55;
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent - Section 67
3. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application - Section 72

I accept the landlord's evidence that despite the tenant having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing and all evidence by *registered mail* in accordance with Section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing. The landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

### **Issue(s) to be Decided**

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

### **Background and Evidence**

The tenancy began July 05, 1999. Current rent in the amount of \$296.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenant in the amount of \$489.50 and subsequently reduced to \$415.00, which the landlord currently holds in trust. The tenant failed to pay all rent in the months of February, March and April 2016 and on April 12, 2016 the

landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent, in the outstanding amount of \$618.00. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the months of May and June 2016. The landlord requested that the security deposit be applied toward outstanding rent.

### **Analysis**

Based on the landlord's evidence I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for Dispute Resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**.

I also find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee. The security deposit will be off-set from the award made herein.

### ***Calculation for Monetary Order***

Rental Arrears to April 2016	\$618.00
May and June 2016 unpaid rent (\$296.00 x 2)	\$592.00
Filing Fees for the cost of this application	100.00
<i>Less Security Deposit and applicable interest to date</i>	<i>-456.47</i>
<b>Total Monetary Award to landlord</b>	<b>\$853.53</b>

### **Conclusion**

**I grant an Order of Possession** to the landlord **effective 2 days** from the day it is served on the tenant. The tenant must be served with this Order of Possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the Order, the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

**I Order** that the landlord retain the security deposit and interest of \$456.47 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an Order under Section 67 of the Act for the balance due of **\$853.53**. If necessary, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

**This Decision is final and binding on both parties.**

*This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.*

Dated: June 06, 2016

---

Residential Tenancy Branch