



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding BROLEY PROPERTIES INC.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC FF

Introduction, Analysis and Conclusion

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”) seeking a monetary order in the amount of \$1,100.00 for having been issued an eviction notice by the landlord and to appeal a prior Decision dated November 10, 2015, the file number of which has been included on the cover page of this Decision for ease of reference.

The tenant applicant attended the teleconference hearing, while the landlord did not. At the start of the hearing, the tenant confirmed that he wanted to appeal the November 10, 2015 Decision and the tenant was advised that filing a new Application was not the method in which to appeal that Decision. The tenant was also advised that he was not entitled to compensation under the *Act* for having been issued an eviction notice that was granted based on a successful landlord’s Application, the file number of which has been included on the cover page of this Decision for ease of reference.

As a result of the above, I find the tenant’s application is an attempt to seek a remedy for which he is not entitled under the *Act* and to appeal a prior Decision of an arbitrator, of which filing a new Application is not the correct method to do so. Therefore, **I dismiss** the tenant’s Application in full, **without leave to reapply**.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as otherwise provided under the *Act*, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 4, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch

