

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the landlords' Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a monetary order and an order of possession.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by both landlords.

The landlord testified the tenant was served with the notice of hearing documents and this Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* personally on June 22, 2016 in accordance with Section 89 and that this service was witness by a third party.

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the documents pursuant to the *Act*.

At the outset of the hearing the landlord testified the tenant stopped living in the rental unit on June 30, 2016 and returned the keys on that date but removed her belongings the day before this hearing. The landlord acknowledged they no longer required an order of possession and only seeks a monetary order for May and June 2016 unpaid rent. Based on this I amend the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution to exclude the matter of possession.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the tenancy began in April 2014 as a month to month tenancy for a monthly rent of \$650.00 due on the 1st of each month with a security deposit of \$325.00 paid.

Page: 2

The landlord testified the tenant had failed to pay rent in the amount of \$350.00 for the month of May 2016 and the full amount of \$650.00 for the month of June 2016.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the landlord's undisputed evidence and testimony, I find the landlord has established the tenant has failed to pay rent for the period and in the amounts claimed.

Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 and I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$1,100.00** comprised of \$1,000.00 rent owed and the \$100.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 28, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch