

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 05, 2016, the landlord had a courier post the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the door of the rental unit. The landlord had the courier sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm this service as well as provide a shipping receipt. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 71, 89(2) and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 08, 2016, the third day after their posting.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

 A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on May 16, 2014, indicating a monthly rent of \$850.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on May 15, 2014;
- Two copies of Notice of Rent Increase forms showing the rent being increased from \$850.00 to the current monthly rent amount of \$896.00;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated June 08, 2016, and sent by registered mail to the tenant on June 08, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of June 23, 2016, for \$896.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent by registered mail to the tenant at 5:00 p.m. on June 08, 2016. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on June 13, 2016, five days after its mailing.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$896.00, as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase form.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, June 23, 2016.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per Section 89 of the *Act*.

Page: 3

Section 89(1) of the *Act* does <u>not</u> allow for the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to be given to the tenant by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the tenant

resides.

Section 89(2) of the Act does allow for the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to be

given to the tenant by attaching a copy to a door at the address at which the tenant

resides, only when considering an Order of Possession for the landlord.

I find that the landlord has served the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the door

of the rental unit at which the tenant resides, and for this reason, the monetary portion

of the landlord's application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent

owing for June 2016 as of June 29, 2016.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective two days after service of this

Order on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may

be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary Order, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 11, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch