

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ABBEY ADELAIDE HOLDINGS INC. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Tenant on February 15, 2016, to obtain a Monetary Order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement and to recover the cost of the filing fee.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the Landlord. No one was in attendance on behalf of the Tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Should this application be dismissed with or without leave to reapply?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the Tenant's claim as no one attended the hearing on behalf of the Tenant.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for eleven minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant called into the hearing during this time.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may

conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

In the absence of any submissions from the applicant Tenant I find the Tenant failed to prove the merits of their application. Accordingly, I order the application dismissed without liberty to reapply.

Conclusion

The Tenant did not appear at the scheduled teleconference hearing and their application was dismissed, without leave to reapply.

This decision is final, legally binding, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 30, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch