

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter was set for hearing at 09.00 a.m. on this date to hear the tenant's application to dispute a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause. The hearing went ahead as scheduled the respondent and her agent did appear and were ready to proceed. The line remained open for 13 minutes; however, no one for the tenant dialed into the call.

Rule 7.1 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure provides as follows: Commencement of Hearing: The Dispute Resolution Hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the Arbitrator. Rule 7.3 provides for the consequences of not attending the hearing and states: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the Arbitrator may conduct the Dispute Resolution Hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application with or without leave to reapply.

Based on the above I find that since the applicant did not appear at the hearing by 9.13 a.m., I dismiss the tenant's application without leave to reapply pursuant to rule 7.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch *Rules of Procedure*.

Issues(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Background and Evidence

The landlord's agent served the tenant with a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for cause on July 28, 2016. A copy of this Notice has been provided in documentary evidence and provided the following reason to end the tenancy:

- 1) The tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant has
 - (i) Significantly interfered with or unreasonably disturbed another occupant or the landlord of the residential property,
 - (ii) Seriously jeopardized the health or safety or a lawful right or interest of the landlord or another occupant.

The landlord testified that the tenant has agreed to vacate the rental unit tomorrow and the landlord agreed the tenant could vacate by October 01, 2016; however, the landlord requested an Order of Possession to serve upon the tenant in the event he does not vacate as agreed verbally.

<u>Analysis</u>

The tenant has failed to appear for a scheduled Dispute Resolution hearing and as a result the tenant's application has been dismissed. The tenant's application was to dispute a One Month Notice to End Tenancy.

- S. 55(1) of the *Act* provides that:
 - **55** (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if
 - (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and
 - (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

Page: 3

I find the landlord's Notice to End Tenancy does comply with s. 52 of the Act and the

landlord requested that I uphold the Notice and issue an Order of Possession for the

rental unit. The effective date of the One Month Notice is August 31, 2016; and this date

has since passed. As I have dismissed the tenant's application I therefore issue an

Order of Possession to the landlord.

Conclusion

The tenant's application is dismissed without leave to re-apply.

The landlord has been issued an Order of Possession effective on October 01, 2016

pursuant to s. 55(1)(b) of the Act. This Order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant

remains in Possession of the rental unit and does not relinquish that possession to the

landlord then the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and

enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 29, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch