

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted two signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceedings which declares that on September 15, 2016, the landlord sent the tenants the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided copies of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on September 20, 2016, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

 Two copies of the Proof of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants; Page: 2

 A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and Tenant A.D. on December 30, 2013, indicating a monthly rent in the amount of \$1,500.00, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on January 01, 2013;

- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated September 05, 2016, and posted to the tenant's door on September 05, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of September 15, 2016, for \$1,525.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 4:25 p.m. on September 05, 2016. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on September 08, 2016, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,500.00, as per the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, September 18, 2016.

I note that the only monetary award available to a landlord by way of the direct request process is for unpaid rent and unpaid utilities. As the landlord has also sought a monetary award for matters relating to a late fee and strata fines, I would not be able to consider these aspects of the landlord's claim through the direct request process. I further find that Tenant N.S. has not signed the tenancy agreement, which is a requirement of the direct request process. For this reason the monetary portion of the

Page: 3

landlord's application naming Tenant N.S. as a respondent is dismissed, with leave to

reapply.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary

Order against Tenant A.D. in the amount of \$1,500.00, for unpaid rent owing for

September 2016, as of September 14, 2016.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective two days after service of this **Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may

be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order

in the amount of \$1,500.00 for rent owed for September 2016. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant A.D. must be served with this Order as

soon as possible. Should Tenant A.D. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of

that Court.

I dismiss the monetary portion of the landlord's application, naming Tenant N.S. as a

respondent, with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the portion of the landlord's application concerning a late fee and strata fines,

with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 21, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch