

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding RANCHO MANAGEMENT SERVICES (B.C.) LTD. and [tenant name suppressed protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC, MNSD, FF

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the Residential Tenancy Act ("Act") for:

- a monetary order for unpaid rent and for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, Residential Tenancy Regulation ("Regulation") or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested, pursuant to section 38; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for its application from the tenant, pursuant to section 72.

This hearing was scheduled for a teleconference at 1:30 p.m. on this date. The tenant participated in the teleconference, the landlord did not. Although I have turned my mind to, and considered Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline 17, the tenant advised that she has a filed an application seeking the return of double the security deposit and the recovery of her filing fee nine days from today in regards to this tenancy. The tenant explicitly requested that her application be heard as scheduled on October 14, 2016 as she has submitted all of her documentary evidence for that hearing and none for today's. The landlord is the applicant in this matter and chose not to dial in or submit any documentary evidence for consideration, and I therefore dismiss this application.

Conclusion

The landlords' application is dismissed in its entirety.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 05, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch