

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute codes</u> OPR MNR MNSD MNDC FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent and compensation for loss pursuant to section
   67:
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:20 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on August 31, 2016, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing was sent to the tenant by registered mail. The landlord provided a registered mail tracking number in support of service. The item was returned to the sender as unclaimed.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

Page: 2

### <u>Preliminary Issue – Amendment to Landlord's Application</u>

Paragraph 64(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend an application for dispute resolution.

At the hearing, the landlord testified that the tenant had not yet vacated the rental unit and therefore asked to amend her claim to include rent and late fees for September and October 2016. Although the tenant did not have prior notice of this claim, I find that the tenant should reasonably have known that the landlord would suffer this loss if the tenant neither paid rent nor vacated the rental unit. I therefore allowed the landlord's request for an amendment.

### Issues

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award requested?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

## Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on June 1, 2006 and the current monthly rent is \$882.00 payable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$355.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The building manager G.M. testified that on August 9, 2016 she personally served the tenant with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities. A proof of service form signed by the tenant acknowledging service was provided with the application. The landlord testified that the tenant did not pay the outstanding amount of rent as indicated in the Notice within five days of service of the Notice.

The landlord's monetary claim is for a net balance of \$594.18 comprised as follows:

Rent outstanding as of August 1, 2016:	\$832.00
Late fee plus NSF charge for July 2016:	50.00
Late fee for August 2016:	25.00
September 2016 rent:	882.00
Late fee for September 2016:	25.00
October 2016 rent:	882.00
Late fee for October 2016:	25.00
Less August 30 <sup>th</sup> payment:	(1002.00)
Less September payment:	(858.00)

Less security deposit: (355.00)
Less interest on security deposit: (11.82)
Plus filing fee: 100.00

Total claim: \$594.18

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was personally served with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy on August 9, 2016 pursuant to section 88 of the Act.

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, August 1, 2016.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

Section 26 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested evidence and claim for outstanding rent, late fees and NSF charges as per above. The tenancy agreement and section 7 of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation* allows for the landlord to charge late fees and NSF charges.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

The landlord continues to hold a security deposit of \$355.00. I allow the landlord to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award pursuant to section 38 of the Act.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$594.18 as calculated above.

Page: 4

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$594.18. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 21, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch