

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Metro Vancouver Housing Corporation and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPB, OPR, FF

This hearing was set to deal with an application by the landlord for an order of possession. Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail actually received on September 16, 2016, the tenants did not appear.

The landlord advised that the tenants had vacated the rental unit at the end of September, after this application was filed. The landlord had filed the application because the tenants had said they would not vacate the rental unit after being served with a notice to end tenancy.

As the tenants have vacated the rental unit the application for an order of possession is no longer relevant. I find that the landlord is entitled to reimbursement from the tenants of the \$100.00 fee it paid to file this application. Pursuant to section 72(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* this amount may be withheld from the security deposit held by the landlord. I have also enclosed a monetary order in this amount in case it should be required by the landlord.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 01, 2016	
	Residential Tenancy Branch