

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR & FF

Introduction

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord makes the following claims:

- a. An Order for Possession for non-payment of rent
- b. A monetary order in the sum of \$969 for unpaid rent
- c. An order to recover the cost of the filing fee

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of a representative of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 Notice to End Tenancy was served on the Tenant by regular mail on September 9, 2016. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was served on the Tenant by mailing, by registered mail to where the Tenant resides on October 5, 2016. It was received by the Tenant on October 7, 2016. The tenant vacated the rental unit on November 4, 2016.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on July 1, 2011. The rent is \$325 per month payable on the first day of each month. The tenant did not pay a security deposit.

Page: 2

The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of August, September and October the sum of \$969 remains owing. The tenant vacated the rental unit on November 3, 2016.

<u>Analysis - Order of Possession:</u>

It is no longer necessary to consider the landlord's application for an Order for Possession as the tenant has vacated the rental unit.

<u>Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:</u>

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of August, September and October and the sum of \$969 remains outstanding. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$969 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$1069.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 24, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch