

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNDC, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

- 1. An Order of Possession Section 55;
- 2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67;
- 3. An Order to retain the security deposit Section 38;
- 4. A Monetary Order for compensation Section 67; and
- 5. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application Section 72.

I accept the Landlord's evidence that each Tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by <u>registered mail</u> in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. The Tenants did not participate in the conference call hearing. The Landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy started on September 1, 2016. Rent of \$1,152.60 is payable on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy the Landlord transferred a security deposit of \$550.00 previously collected for a different unit in May 2015.

The Tenants failed to pay rent for September 2016 and the Landlord served the Tenants with a 10 day notice to end the tenancy for unpaid rent (the "Notice"). The Tenants did not dispute the Notice. The Tenants have not paid the rents owed, have also failed to pay rent for October, November and December 2016 and have not moved out of the unit.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the notice or dispute the notice by filing an application for dispute resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the undisputed evidence of the Landlord I find that the Landlord gave the Tenants the Notice and the Tenants did not dispute the Notice. As a result I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Based on the undisputed evidence of the terms of rent payable and the evidence of unpaid rent, I find that the Landlord is entitled to unpaid rent of \$4,610.40 for the months September to December 2016 inclusive. As the Landlord has been successful with its application I find that the Landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee for a total entitlement of \$4,710.40. Deducting the security deposit plus zero interest of \$550.00 leaves \$4,160.40 owed by the Tenants to the Landlord.

Page: 3

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord. The Tenant must be served with this

Order of Possession. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may

be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that

Court.

I order that the Landlord retain the deposit and interest of \$550.00 in partial

satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act

for the balance due of \$4,160.40. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small

Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: December 14, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch