

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes FF, MNR, OPR, O

<u>Introduction</u>

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord makes the following claims:

- a. An Order for Possession for cause
- b. A monetary order in the sum of \$2250 for unpaid rent
- c. An order to recover the cost of the filing fee

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the one month Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on an adult person who was apparently residing in the rental unit on September 26, 2016. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was sufficiently served by mailing, by registered mail to where the Tenant resides on November 3, 2016. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a month to month written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on June 1, 2016. The rent is \$750 per month payable on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$375 at the start of the tenancy.

The tenant may have vacated the rental unit sometime in September and he permitted others to move in. The landlord does not know the names of the people who have moved in. The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of September, October and November and the sum of \$2250 remains owing. The tenant(s) or those who have gained access through the Tenant continue to reside in the rental unit.

Page: 2

Analysis - Order of Possession:

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. The landlord served a one month Notice to End Tenancy on an adult person who apparently resides in the rental unit. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date. In addition the Tenant has failed to pay the rent for September, October and November. Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession on 2 days notice..

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of September, October and November and the sum of \$2250 remains outstanding. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$2250 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$2350.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: December 20, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch