



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes            OPR, MNR, MNSD & FF

### Introduction

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord makes the following claims:

- a. An Order for Possession for cause
- b. A monetary order in the sum of \$3500 for unpaid rent
- c. An Order to retain the security deposit.
- d. An order to recover the cost of the filing fee

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of a representative of the applicant and in the absence of the respondents although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the one month Notice to End Tenancy was served on the Tenants by posting on September 13, 2016. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was served on the Tenants by mailing, by registered mail to where the Tenants reside on October 31, 2016. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to retain all or a portion of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- d. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

### Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on March 1, 2014. The rent was \$775 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$387.50 prior to the start of the tenancy. The rent was increased to \$817.40 per month.

The tenant(s) failed to pay the rent for the months of October (\$817.40 is owed) and November (\$817.40 is owed) and the sum of \$1634.80 remains owing. The landlord became aware the tenants had vacated the rental unit on November 15, 2016.

Analysis - Order of Possession:

It is no longer necessary to consider the landlord's application for an Order for Possession as the Tenants have vacated the rental unit.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee:

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the months of October (\$817.40 is owed) and November (\$817.40 is owed) and the sum of \$1634.80 remains owing. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$1634.80 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$1634.80.

Security Deposit:

I determined the security deposit plus interest totals the sum of \$387.50. I ordered the landlord may retain this sum thus reducing the amount outstanding under this monetary order to the sum of \$1347.30.

Conclusion:

I ordered that the Landlord shall retain the security deposit of \$387.50. In addition I further ordered that the Tenant(s) pay to the Landlord(s) the sum of \$1347.30.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: December 19, 2016

---

Residential Tenancy Branch