



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount, pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:20 a.m. in order to enable the landlord to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The tenant testified that on October 17, 2016, he sent a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing to the landlord by registered mail. The package was sent to the rental unit address. The tenant testified that the landlord occasionally stayed in a trailer on the rental property. The landlord did not provide any other address for service. A registered mail tracking number was provided in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the landlord was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the landlord.

Issues

Is the tenant entitled to a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on June 1, 2016 with a monthly rent of \$1250.00 payable on the 1st day of each month. The rental unit was a bedroom in a two storey house and the kitchen and living space was shared with another tenant. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$500.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The tenant is claiming double the security deposit arguing that the landlord failed to return the security deposit within 15 days of the date the landlord received the tenants forwarding address in writing. The tenant provided a forwarding address to the landlord in writing on September 15, 2016. A copy of the letter and proof of mail receipt was provided.

Analysis

Section 38 of the Act provides that when a tenancy ends, the landlord may only keep a security deposit if the tenant has consented in writing, or the landlord has an order for payment which has not been paid. Otherwise, the landlord must return the deposit, with interest if payable, or make a claim in the form of an Application for Dispute Resolution. Those steps must be taken within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy, or the date the tenant provides a forwarding address in writing, whichever is later. A landlord who does not comply with this provision may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit, pet deposit, or both, as applicable.

I find the tenant did provide a forwarding address in writing to the landlord. The tenant's security deposit was not refunded within 15 days as required by section 38 of the Act and the doubling provisions of section 38 therefore apply.

I allow the tenants claim for return of the security deposit and award an amount of \$1000.00, which is double the original security deposit of \$500.00.

As the tenant was successful in this application, I find that the tenant is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application from the landlord for a total monetary award of \$1100.00. The tenants claim to recover costs associated with mailing the application is dismissed as these costs are not covered under the Act.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1100.00. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 02, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch