

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ame suppres **DECISION**

Dispute codes OPR OPC MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:20 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m.. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on December 5, 2016, the resident manager, L.W. personally served the tenant with a copy of the Landlord's Amended Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was personally served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

<u>Preliminary Issue – Amendment to Landlord's Application</u>

Paragraph 64(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend an application for dispute resolution.

At the hearing, the landlord testified that the tenant had not yet vacated the rental unit and therefore asked to amend his claim to include outstanding rent for the month of January 2017. Although the tenant did not have prior notice of this claim, I find that the tenant should reasonably have known that the landlord would suffer this loss if the tenant neither paid rent nor vacated the rental unit. I therefore allowed the landlord's request for an amendment.

Page: 2

<u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began in January 2016 and the current monthly rent is \$650.00 payable on the 1st day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$325.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The landlord testified that on December 5, 2016, the resident manager, L.W. personally served the tenant with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities. The landlord testified that the tenant did not pay the full outstanding amount of rent as indicated in the Notice within five days of service of the Notice. A partial payment of \$320.00 was received on December 15, 2016. The balance of \$330.00 for December 2016 rent plus \$650.00 for January 2017 rent remains outstanding.

<u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was personally served with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy on December 5, 2016 pursuant to section 88 of the Act.

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, December 15, 2016.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

Section 26 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested evidence and claim for outstanding rent of \$980.00.

Page: 3

The landlord continues to hold a security deposit of \$325.00. Although the landlord's application does not seek to retain the security deposit, using the offsetting provisions of section 72 of the Act, I allow the landlord to retain the security deposit in partial

satisfaction of the monetary award.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of

\$655.00.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may

be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$655.00. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 10, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch