

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ARNO HOTEL and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession, for a monetary order for unpaid rent or utilities and to recover the filing fee from the tenant.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing, by posting to the door of the tenant residence on December 10, 2016, the tenant did not appear. I find that the tenant has been duly served in accordance with the Act.

Although I have accepted service on the tenant, when documents are served in the above method, the legislation only allows the application for an order of possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act to be considered. Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary order with leave to reapply

The landlord's agent gave testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

<u>Issue to be Decided</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Background and Evidence

Based on the testimony of the landlord's agent, I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent on November 21, 2016, by posting to the door. The notice informed the tenant that the notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The notice also explains the tenant had five days to dispute the notice.

The landlord's agent stated the tenant did not dispute the notice and has not paid rent.

<u>Analysis</u>

Page: 2

Based on the above, the testimony, and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent, did not apply to dispute the notice, and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, effective **two days** after service on the tenant .This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

As the landlord has been successful with their application, I authorize the landlord to keep the amount of \$100.00 from the tenant's security deposit to recover the cost of the filing fee from the tenant.

Conclusion

The tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the notice to end tenancy. The tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice to end tenancy.

The landlord is granted an order of possession, and may keep a portion of the security deposit to recover the filing fee from the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 13, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch