

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

## <u>Introduction</u>

This hearing addressed the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*") for:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 55; and
- a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 67.

The landlord's application was originally initiated as a direct request proceeding, which is a non-participatory hearing. In an interim decision issued on December 20, 2016, an adjudicator determined that the matter was not appropriate for a non-participatory hearing and ordered that a participatory hearing take place. The interim decision directed the landlord to serve the tenant with a copy of the interim decision and a Notice of Reconvened Hearing (the "Hearing Package").

The tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing, which lasted approximately 10 minutes. The landlord's agent (the "landlord") attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. The landlord confirmed he was an agent of the landlord's company named in this application, and had authority to speak on its behalf.

The landlord testified that on December 15, 2016 he forwarded the Notice of Direct Request Proceedings, which is notice of the claim and notice that adjudication would take place through a non-participatory hearing, via registered mail to the tenant. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number as proof of service. Based on the testimony of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceedings documents on December 20, 2016, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Although the landlord was ordered by way of the interim decision dated December 20, 2016 to serve the tenant with a copy of the Hearing Package, the landlord testified that

Page: 2

he did not serve these documents. Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application with leave to reapply.

## Conclusion

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 20, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch