

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR

# Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 27, 2017, the landlord personally served the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlord had a witness and the tenant sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on January 27, 2017, the day it was personally served to them.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

 A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenant:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 03, 2016, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,800.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on November 01, 2016;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated January 07, 2017, with a stated effective vacancy date of January 17, 2017, for \$1,800.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was placed under the door at 1:00 p.m. on January 07, 2017. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

# <u>Analysis</u>

Direct request proceedings are *ex parte* proceedings. In an *ex parte* proceeding, the opposing party is not invited to participate in the hearing or make any submissions. As there is no ability of the tenants to participate, there is a much higher burden placed on landlords in these types of proceedings than in a participatory hearing. This higher burden protects the procedural rights of the excluded party and ensures that the natural justice requirements of the Residential Tenancy Branch are satisfied.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove that they served the tenant with the 10 Day Notice in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Section 88 of the *Act* allows for service by either sending the 10 Day Notice to the tenant by registered mail, leaving a copy with the tenant, leaving a copy in the tenant's mailbox or mail slot, attaching a copy to the tenant's door or leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the tenant.

In the special details section of the Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy, the landlord has indicated that they placed the 10 Day Notice <u>under</u> the door of the rental unit.

For the above reason, I find that the 10 Day Notice has not been served in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Page: 3

Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application to end this tenancy and obtain an Order

of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice of January 07, 2017, without leave to

reapply.

The 10 Day Notice of January 07, 2017, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

For the same reason listed above, I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary

Order with leave to reapply.

The landlord must reissue the 10 Day Notice and serve it in one of the ways prescribed

by section 88 of the Act, or according to Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline #39, if

the landlord wants to apply through the Direct Request process.

Conclusion

The landlord's application for an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice

of January 07, 2017 is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice of January 07, 2017 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the Act.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary Order with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 30, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch