

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SAN STEL INVESTMENTS LTD. **DECISION**

Dispute codes OPR MNR FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened in response to the landlord's application pursuant to the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 48;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 60;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 65.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 11:10 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on January 18, 2017, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing was sent to the tenant by registered mail. The landlord provided a registered mail tracking number in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to section 82 & 83 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

Issues

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent?
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?
Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

This manufactured home park tenancy began on June 7, 2010 and the current monthly rent is \$550.54 payable on the 1st day of each month.

The landlord testified that on January 4, 2017 he served the tenant with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent or utilities by posting a copy to the door of the rental premises. A witnessed proof of service of this Notice was provided with the application. The landlord testified that the tenant did not pay the outstanding amount of rent as indicated in the Notice within five days of service of the Notice.

The landlord's monetary claim is for outstanding rent in the amount of \$1651.62. The landlord testified that this includes unpaid rent for the period of December 2016 to February 2017. The landlord is also claiming \$20.00 NSF charge from December 2016 and \$40.00 in late fees for the months of January and February 2017.

<u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy on January 7, 2017, three days after its posting, pursuant to sections 81 & 83 of the Act.

Section 39 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If, as in the present case, the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, January 17, 2017.

I find that the Notice served by the landlord is in compliance with the Act and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 48 of the Act.

Section 20 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested evidence and claim for outstanding rent and late fees/NSF charges in the amount of \$1711.62.

Page: 3

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application for a total monetary award of \$1811.62.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 48 of the Act, I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 60 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1811.62. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 14, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch