



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Hisan Investments
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

AS, FF

Introduction:

This hearing was convened in response to an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Tenant in which the Tenant applied for authority to assign or sublet because the Landlord unreasonably withheld consent and to recover the fee for filing this Application for Dispute Resolution.

Issue(s) to be Decided:

Did the Landlord unreasonably withheld consent to assign or sublet and, if so, should the Tenant be granted authority to assign or sublet?

Background and Evidence:

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for a teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

This hearing was scheduled to commence at 10:30 a.m. on March 14, 2017. I dialed into the teleconference at 10:32 a.m. and monitored the teleconference until 10:43 a.m. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time.

Analysis

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application with leave to reapply, as I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Act*.

Dated: March 16, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch