

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FF

Introduction

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Tenant seeks the following:

- a. A monetary order in the sum of \$500 for the return of the security deposit.
- b. An order to recover the cost of the filing fee.

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was served on the landlord by mailing, by registered mail to where the landlord resides on January 27, 2017. The tenant testified that a search of the Canada Post tracking service indicates it was accepted for delivery on February 3, 2017. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the tenant is entitled to the return of the security deposit/pet deposit?
- b. Whether the tenant is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The parties entered into a fixed term written tenancy agreement that provided that the tenancy would start on July 16, 2015, end on July 31, 2016 and become month to month after that. The rent was \$995 per month payable in advance on first day of each month. The tenant(s) paid a security deposit of \$500 on June 15, 2015. The tenancy ended on December 31, 2016.

The tenant(s) provided the landlord with his/her their forwarding address in writing on November 28, 2016.

Page: 2

The tenant testified the landlord provided him with a cheque in the sum of \$500 for the security deposit on February 6, 2017.

<u>Analysis</u>

The Residential Tenancy Act provides that a landlord must return the security deposit plus interest to the tenants within 15 days of the later of the date the tenancy ends or the date the landlord receives the tenants forwarding address in writing unless the parties have agreed in writing that the landlord can retain the security deposit, the landlord already has a monetary order against the tenants or the landlord files an Application for Dispute Resolution within that 15 day period. It further provides that if the landlord fails to do this the tenant is entitled to an order for double the security deposit.

<u>Analysis</u>

The tenants paid a security deposit of \$500 on June 15, 2015. I determined the tenancy ended on December 31, 2016. I further determined the tenants provided the landlord with their forwarding address in writing on November 28, 2016. The parties have not agreed in writing that the landlord can retain the security deposit. The landlord does not have a monetary order against the tenants and the landlord failed to file an Application for Dispute Resolution within the 15 days from the later of the end of tenancy or the date the landlord receives the tenants' forwarding address in writing. The landlord returned \$500 on February 6, 2017 which is after the 15 day period. As a result I determined the tenants have established a claim against the landlord for double the security deposit minus what was returned after the 15 day period or the sum of \$500 (\$500 x 2 = \$1000 minus \$500 equals \$500).

Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

I ordered the landlord(s) to pay to the tenant the sum of \$500 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$600.

Conclusion:

In conclusion I ordered the landlord to pay to the tenant the sum of \$600.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Page: 3

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is final and binding on both parties.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 08, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch