

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNL

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the tenants and an agent for the landlord.

I note that Section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* requires that when a tenant submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy issued by a landlord I must consider if the landlord is entitled to an order of possession if the Application is dismissed and the landlord has issued a notice to end tenancy that is compliant with the *Act*.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the tenants are entitled to cancel a 2 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property and to recover the filing fee from the landlord for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 49, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Should the tenant be unsuccessful in seeking to cancel the 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause it must also be decided if the landlord is entitled to an order of possession pursuant to Section 55(1) of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

Prior to the hearing the landlord's agent submitted a mutual agreement to end the tenancy. During the hearing the male tenant confirmed that they had agreed with the terms of the mutual agreement; that they have not paid rent for the month of March 2017 and will vacate by March 31, 2017 as per the terms of the agreement. The tenant confirmed that they had no objection to the landlord receiving an order of possession.

Conclusion

Based on the mutual agreement noted above I grant the landlord an order of possession effective **March 31, 2017 after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file

Page: 2

the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 13, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch