

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an order of possession and a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord

The landlord provided documentary evidence to confirm the tenant was served with the notice of hearing documents and this Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* by registered mail on February 23, 2017 in accordance with Section 89. Section 90 of the *Act* deems documents served in such a manner to be received on the 5th day after they have been mailed.

Based on the testimony and documentary evidence of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the documents pursuant to the *Act*.

During the hearing the landlord clarified the tenant had not yet paid the required security deposit and that he was seeking a monetary order that included requiring the tenant to pay the deposit. As this hearing was set in response to the landlord's Application seeking to end the tenancy and a security deposit may only be requested at the start of the tenancy, I find that it is inappropriate to grant an order for the landlord to collect a security deposit at this time. I amend the landlord's Application to exclude the matter of the security deposit.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The landlord testified the tenancy began as a month to month tenancy in January 2016 for the monthly rent of \$1,200.00 due on the 1st of each month.

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on December 20, 2016 with an effective vacancy date of December 30, 2016 due to \$1,200.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of December 2016 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the rental unit door on December 20, 2016 at 7:30 p.m. and that this service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days. The landlord submits that the tenant has also failed to pay rent for the months of January, February and March 2017.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and testimony and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on December 23, 2016 and the effective date of the notice is amended to January 2, 2017, pursuant to Section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

Page: 3

I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 and grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$4,900.00** comprised of \$4,800.00 rent owed and the \$100.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 20, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch